arXiv:1404.6352v1 [math.DS] 25 Apr 2014

Topological pressure dimension for almost additive potentials

Lei Liu[1,2], Huajun Gong[2], Xiaoyao Zhou[2*],

1 School of Mathematics and Information Science, Shangqiu Normal University,

Shangqiu 476000, Henan P.R.China,

2 Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China,

Hefei, 230026, Anhui, P.R.China

e-mail: mathliulei@163.com

huajun84@hotmail.com

zhouxiaoyaodeyouxian@126.com,

Abstract. This paper is devoted to the study of the topological pressure dimension for almost additive sequences, which is an extension of topological entropy dimension. We investigate fundamental properties of the topological pressure dimension for almost additive sequences. In particular, we study the relationships among different types of topological pressure dimension and identifies an inequality relating them. Also, we show that the topological pressure dimension is always equal to or greater than 1 for certain special almost additive sequence.

Keywords: Topological pressure dimension, almost additive sequence, topological entropy dimension.

1 Introduction

In 1958, Kolmogorov applied the notion of entropy from information theory to ergodic theory. Since then, the concepts of entropies are useful for studying topological and measure-theoretic structures of dynamical systems, that is, topological entropy (see [1, 9, 10]) and measure-theoretic entropy (see [20, 33]). For instance, two conjugate systems have the same entropy and thus entropy is a numerical invariant of the class of

* Corresponding author

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H20, 37B20

conjugated dynamical systems. The theory of expansive dynamical systems has been closely related to the theory of topological entropy [13, 32, 46]. Entropy and chaos are closely related, for example, a continuous map of interval is chaotic if and only if it has a positive topological entropy [8]. Moreover, various authors have introduced several refinements of entropy, including slow entropy [30], measure-theoretic complexity [25], and entropy-like invariants for noninvertible maps[29, 39, 19]. The authors [18, 36, 37, 34] extended and studied as above some entropy-like invariants for the non-autonomous discrete dynamical systems given by a sequence of continuous self-maps of a compact topological space.

Properties of positive entropy systems have been studied in many different respects along with their applications. Comparing with positive entropy systems, we have much less understanding and less tools for zero entropy systems, although systems with positive entropy are much more complicated than those with zero entropy. Zero entropy systems have various complexity, and have been studied many authors (see [15, 17, 21, 22, 26, 27, 28, 38, 40]). These authors adopted various methods to classify zero entropy dynamical systems. Carvalho [15] introduced the notion of entropy dimension to distinguish the zero topological entropy systems and obtained some basic properties of entropy dimension. Cheng and Li [17] further discuss entropy dimension of the probabilistic and the topological versions and gives a symbolic subspace to achieve zero topological entropy, but with full entropy dimension. Ferenczi and Park [26] investigated a new entropy-like invariant for the action of $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}^d$ on a probability space.

Topological pressure is a generalization of topological entropy for a dynamical system. The notion was first introduced by Ruelle [43] in 1973 for expansive maps acting on compact metric spaces. In the same paper he formulated a variational principle for the topological pressure. Later Walters [47] generalized these results to general continuous maps on compact metric spaces. The theory concerning the topological pressure, variational principle and equilibrium states plays a fundamental role in statistical mechanics, ergodic theory and dynamical systems (see [11, 31, 44, 48]). After the works of Bowen [12] and Ruelle [45], the topological pressure turned into a basic tool in the dimension theory related to dynamical systems. In 1984, Pesin and Pitskel [41] defined the topological pressure of additive potentials for non-compact subsets of compact metric spaces and proved the variational principle under some supplementary conditions. In 1988, Falconer [23] considered the thermodynamic formalism for sub-additive potentials for mixing repellers. He proved the variational principle for the topological pressure under some Lipschitz conditions and bounded distortion assumptions on the sub-additive potentials. In 1996, Barreira [2] extended the work of Pesin and Pitskel. He defined the topological pressure for an arbitrary sequence of continuous functions on an arbitrary subset of compact metric spaces, and proved the

variational principle under a strong convergence assumption on the potentials. In 2008, Cao, Feng and Huang [14] and Feng and Huang [24] generalized Ruelle and Walterss results to sub-additive potentials in general compact dynamical systems. Zhang [50] introduced the notion of measure-theoretic pressure for sub-additive potentials, and studied the relationship between topological pressure and measure-theoretic pressure. Recently, Chen, Ding and Cao [16] studied the local variational principle of topological pressure for sub-additive potential, Liang and Yan [35] introduced the topological pressure for any sub-additive potentials of a countable discrete amenable group action and established a local variational principle for it, and Yan [49] investigated the topological pressure for any sub-additive and asymptotically sub-additive potentials of $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions and established the variational principle for them. Cheng and Li [18] extended the definition of entropy dimension and gave the definition of topological pressure dimension, which is also similar to the fractal measure, and studied the relationships among different types of topological pressure dimension and identifies an inequality relating them.

In this paper we introduce different various topological pressure dimension for almost additive sequences and discuss how they are related to one another. The defined topological pressure dimension generates that of Cheng and Li. We study properties of the different forms of topological pressure dimension and prove that those topological pressure dimensions have some properties similar to the topological pressure. In the end of those works, we give the topological pressure dimension is greater than or equal to 1 for certain special almost additive sequence.

2 Definition of topological pressure dimension for almost additive potentials

A topological dynamical system (X, d, T) ((X, T) for short) means that (X, d) is a compact metric space together with a continuous self-map $T : X \to X$. Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of all positive integers and let $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Given a topological dynamical system (X, T), denote by $\mathcal{C}_X$ the set of all finite open covers of X. Given two covers $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}_X$, we say that β is finer than α ($\alpha \preceq \beta$) if for every $V \in \beta$ there is a set $U \in \alpha$ such that $V \subseteq U$. Let $\alpha \vee \beta = \{U \cap V : U \in \alpha, V \in \beta\}$. It is clear that $\alpha \preceq \alpha \vee \beta$ and $\beta \preceq \alpha \vee \beta$. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and cover $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$, let

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\alpha = \{A_{i_0} \cap T^{-1}A_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap T^{-(n-1)}A_{i_{n-1}} : A_{i_j} \in \alpha\}.$$

Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$, let $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ be the number of the sets in a subcover of α with the smallest cardinality.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Define the metric d_n on X by

$$d_n(x, y) = \max_{0 \le j < n} d(T^j(x), T^j(y)).$$

A subset $F \subseteq X$ is an (n, ϵ)-spanning set of X if for any $x \in X$, there exists $y \in F$ such that $d_n(x, y) < \epsilon$, let $s(n, \epsilon)$ be the minimal cardinality of any (n, ϵ)-spanning set of X. The dual definition is as follows. A subset $E \subseteq X$ is an (n, ϵ)-separated set of X if for any $x \neq y$ in E, one has $d_n(x, y) > \epsilon$, let the quantity $r(n, \epsilon)$ be the maximal cardinality of (n, ϵ)-separated set of X. It is well known that

$$s(n, \epsilon) \le r(n, \epsilon) \le s(n, \epsilon/2).$$

Let $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ be the space of real-valued continuous functions of X. For $\varphi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $n \ge 1$, denote

$$(S_n\varphi)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(T^i x).$$

Now, We first introduce the class of almost additive sequence. Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions with $\varphi_n \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 2.1. *A sequence of functions $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to be almost additive for T if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$-C + \varphi_n(x) + \varphi_m(T^n(x)) \le \varphi_{n+m}(x) \le \varphi_n(x) + \varphi_m(T^n(x)) + C \tag{2.1}$$

for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$.

Clearly, given $\varphi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, $(S_n\varphi)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence. Some nontrivial examples of almost additive sequences, related to the study of Lyapunov exponents of nonconformal transformations, are given in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Next, we give the definition of topological pressure dimension for almost additive sequences by using open covers, spanning sets and separated sets as follows. Throughout this paper, let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an almost additive sequence for T with a positive constant C.

Let $n \ge 1$ and α be an open cover of X. Denote

$$q_n(T, \Phi, \alpha) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{B\in\beta} \inf_{x\in B} e^{\varphi_n(x)} | \beta \text{ is a finite subcover of } \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\alpha \right\}.$$

Then we define the lower cover s-topological pressure with respect to Φ is to be

$$PD_1(s, T, \Phi) = \sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_X} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log q_n(T, \Phi, \alpha),$$

Proposition 2.1. (1) *The $PD_1(s,T,\Phi)$ are nonnegative (or nonpositive) for all $s \geq 0$.*

(2) *If the map $s > 0 \mapsto PD_1(s,T,\Phi)$ is nonnegative and decreasing with s, then there exists $s_0 \in [0,+\infty]$ such that*

$$PD_1(s,T,\Phi) = \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if } 0 < s < s_0, \\ \\ 0, & \text{if } s > s_0. \end{cases}$$

(3) *If the map $s > 0 \mapsto PD_1(s,T,\Phi)$ is nonpositive and increasing with s, then there exists $s_0 \in [0,+\infty]$ such that*

$$PD_1(s,T,\Phi) = \begin{cases} -\infty, & \text{if } 0 < s < s_0, \\ \\ 0, & \text{if } s > s_0. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.1 (2) and (3) indicate that the value of $PD_1(s,T,\Phi)$ jumps from infinity to 0 at both sides of some point s_0. Similar to the fractal dimension, define the lower cover pressure dimension of T with respect to Φ as follows

$$PD_1(T,\Phi) = \sup\{s > 0 : PD_1(s,T,\Phi) = \infty\} = \inf\{s > 0 : PD_1(s,T,\Phi) = 0\},$$

Remark 2.1. *If $s_0 = 0$, then $PD_1(s,T,\Phi) = 0$ for all $s > 0$. If $s_0 = +\infty$, then $PD_1(s,T,\Phi) = +\infty$ for all $s > 0$ or $PD_1(s,T,\Phi) = -\infty$ for all $s > 0$. For both critical cases $s_0 = 0$ or $s_0 = +\infty$, there is no jump in $PD_1(s,T,\Phi)$.*

A similar definition for the upper cover pressure dimension for almost additive sequences is as follows.

Let $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$. Denote

$$p_n(T,\Phi,\alpha) = \inf\left\{\sum_{B\in\beta} \sup_{x\in B} e^{\varphi_n(x)} | \beta \text{ is a finite subcover of } \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\alpha\right\},$$

and define the upper cover s-topological pressure with respect Φ is to be

$$PD_4(s,T,\Phi) = \sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_X} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log p_n(T,\Phi,\alpha).$$

Furthermore, using the same argument, we define the upper cover pressure dimension of T with respect to Φ as follows:

$$PD_4(T,\Phi) = \sup\{s > 0 : PD_4(s,T,\Phi) = \infty\} = \inf\{s > 0 : PD_4(s,T,\Phi) = 0\}.$$

Next, we give the definitions of topological pressure dimension for almost additive sequences by using the spanning set and the separated set.

Let $n \geq 1, \Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Denote

$$Q_n(T, \Phi, \epsilon) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{x\in F} e^{\varphi_n(x)} | F \text{ is an } (n, \epsilon)-\text{spanning set for } X \right\}.$$

Then we define s-topological pressure with respect Φ from the spanning set to be

$$PD_2(s, T, \Phi) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log Q_n(T, \Phi, \epsilon).$$

By [7], when $s = 1$, $PD_2(s, T, \Phi)$ is just the topological pressure of T for almost additive sequences Φ. For the s-topological pressure $PD_2(s, T, \Phi)$, Proposition 2.1 holds as well. Analogous to the fractal dimension, the topological pressure dimension of T with respect to Φ from spanning set is defined as follows:

$$PD_2(T, \Phi) = \sup\{s > 0 : PD_2(s, T, \Phi) = \infty\} = \inf\{s > 0 : PD_2(s, T, \Phi) = 0\}.$$

Denote

$$P_n(T, \Phi, \epsilon) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{x\in E} e^{\varphi_n(x)} | E \text{ is an } (n, \epsilon)-\text{separated set for } X \right\}.$$

Then we define s-topological pressure with respect Φ from the separated set to be

$$PD_3(s, T, \Phi) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log P_n(T, \Phi, \epsilon).$$

We define the topological pressure dimension of T with respect to Φ from the separated set as follows:

$$PD_3(T, \Phi) = \sup\{s > 0 : PD_3(s, T, \Phi) = \infty\} = \inf\{s > 0 : PD_3(s, T, \phi) = 0\}.$$

Note that $Q_n(T, \Phi, \epsilon) \leq P_n(T, \phi, \epsilon)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ has a Lebesgue number δ, then $q_n(T, \Phi, \alpha) \leq Q_n(T, \Phi, \frac{\delta}{2})$ and $P_n(T, \Phi, \epsilon) \leq p_n(T, \Phi, \gamma)$ if $\epsilon > 0$ and γ is an open cover with diameter of γ less than or equal to ϵ. This implies the following inequality:

$$PD_1(T, \Phi) \leq PD_2(T, \Phi) \leq PD_3(T, \Phi) \leq PD_4(T, \Phi). \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.2. *If $\Phi = (S_n\varphi)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for a given $\varphi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, then $PD_i(s, T, \Phi) = PD_i(s, T, \varphi)$ and $PD_i(T, \Phi) = PD_i(T, \varphi)$, where $PD_i(s, T, \varphi)$ and $PD_i(T, \varphi)$ are s-topological pressure and topological pressure dimension for potential function φ defined by Cheng and Li [17] respectively.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let (X,T) be a topological dynamical system and $\Phi=(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an almost additive sequence. If $\delta>0$ is such that $d(x,y)<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ implies $\mid \varphi_1(x)-\varphi_1(y)\mid<\delta$, then*

$$P_n(T,\Phi,\epsilon)\leq e^{2nC+n\delta}Q_n(T,\Phi,\frac{\epsilon}{2}).$$

Proof. Let E be an (n,ϵ)-separated set and F be an $(n,\frac{\epsilon}{2})$-spanning set. Define $\phi: E\to F$, for each $x\in E$, some point $\phi(x)\in F$ with $d_n(x,\phi(x))\leq\frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Then ϕ is injective, further, we have

$$\sum_{y\in F}e^{\varphi_n(y)}\geq\sum_{y\in\phi(E)}e^{\varphi_n(y)}\geq(\min_{x\in E}e^{\varphi_n(\phi(x))-\varphi_n(x)})\sum_{x\in E}e^{\varphi_n(x)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, by inequality (2.1), we have

$$-nC+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi_1(T^i(x))\leq\varphi_n(x)\leq\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi_1(T^i(x))+nC,$$

and

$$-nC+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi_1(T^i(\phi(x)))\leq\varphi_n(\phi(x))\leq\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi_1(T^i(\phi(x)))+nC.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}-2nC+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(\varphi_1(T^i(\phi(x))-\varphi_1(T^i(x)))&\leq\varphi_n(\phi(x))-\varphi_n(x)\\&\leq\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(\varphi_1(T^i(\phi(x)))-\varphi_1(T^i(x)))+2nC.\end{aligned}$$

Since $d(x,y)<\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ implies $\mid\varphi_1(x)-\varphi_1(y)\mid<\delta$, it follows that

$$-n\delta\leq\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(\varphi_1(T^i(\phi(x)))-\varphi_1(T^i(x)))\leq n\delta,$$

i.e.,$-2nC-n\delta\leq\varphi_n(\phi(x))-\varphi_n(x)\leq n\delta+2nC$. By the inequality (2.3), we have

$$\sum_{y\in F}e^{\varphi_n(y)}\geq e^{-2nC-n\delta}\sum_{x\in E}e^{\varphi_n(x)}.$$

This implies that

$$P_n(T,\Phi,\epsilon)\leq e^{2nC+n\delta}Q_n(T,\Phi,\frac{\epsilon}{2}).$$

□

Remark 2.3. *From Proposition 2.2, we have*

$$\log P_n(T,\Phi,\epsilon) \leq (n\delta + 2nC) + \log Q_n(T,\Phi,\frac{\epsilon}{2}),$$

which implies

$$PD_3(s,T,\Phi) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{n^s}(\delta + 2C) + PD_2(s,T,\Phi).$$

This inequality holds for any δ, furthermore,

- *if $s = 1$, then*

$$PD_3(s,T,\Phi) \leq 2C + PD_2(s,T,\Phi);$$

- *if $1 < s < +\infty$, then*

$$PD_3(s,T,\Phi) = PD_2(s,T,\Phi).$$

Similarly, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$, if $d(x,y) < diam(\alpha)$ is such that $\mid \varphi_1(x) - \varphi_1(y) \mid \leq \delta$, where $diam(\alpha)$ denotes the diameter of α, then $p_n(T,\Phi,\alpha) \leq e^{2nC+n\delta} q_n(T,\Phi,\alpha)$. Since the above inequality holds for any $\delta > 0$, it follows that for $1 < s < +\infty$,

$$PD_1(s,T,\Phi) = PD_2(s,T,\Phi) = PD_3(s,T,\Phi) = PD_4(s,T,\Phi).$$

3 s-topological pressure for almost additive potentials

Let (X,d,T) be a topological dynamical system. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $s > 0$. Carvalho [15] and Cheng and Li [17] gave the definitions of s-topological entropy and topological entropy dimension as follows:
s-topological entropy is to be as

$$D(s,T) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log s(n,\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log r(n,\epsilon).$$

And the topological entropy dimension of T is to be

$$D(T) = \sup\{s > 0 : D(s,T) = \infty\} = \inf\{s > 0 : D(s,T) = 0\}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two almost additive sequences. Define*

$$\Phi + \Psi = (\varphi_n + \psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}.$$

Then $\Phi + \Psi$ is an almost additive sequence.

Proof. Since $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are two almost additive sequences, there exist $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$-C_1 + \varphi_n(x) + \varphi_m(T^n(x)) \le \varphi_{n+m}(x) \le \varphi_n(x) + \varphi_m(T^n(x)) + C_1 \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$-C_2 + \psi_n(x) + \psi_m(T^n(x)) \le \psi_{n+m}(x) \le \psi_n(x) + \psi_m(T^n(x)) + C_2 \tag{3.5}$$

for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$. By the inequalities (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(C_1 + C_2) + (\varphi_n(x) + \psi_n(x)) + (\varphi_m(T^n(x)) + \psi_m(T^n(x))) \\
&\le (\varphi_{n+m}(x) + \psi_{n+m}(x)) \\
&\le (\varphi_n(x) + \psi_n(x)) + (\varphi_m(T^n(x)) + \psi_m(T^n(x))) + (C_1 + C_2),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(C_1 + C_2) + (\varphi_n + \psi_n)(x) + (\varphi_m + \psi_m)(T^n(x)) \\
&\le (\varphi_{n+m} + \psi_{n+m})(x) \\
&\le (\varphi_n + \psi_n)(x) + (\varphi_m + \psi_m)(T^n(x)) + (C_1 + C_2).
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\Phi + \Psi = (\varphi_n + \psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence. □

Similarly, we can easily prove that if $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, so is $\lambda\Phi = (\lambda\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Denote $\Phi = \mathbf{0}$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$, $\varphi_n(x) = 0$ and $\Phi \le \Psi$ if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$, $\varphi_n(x) \le \psi_n(x)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an almost additive sequence.*

(1) *$PD_i(s, T, \mathbf{0}) = D(s, T)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

(2) *If $s = 1$, then*

$$-C + D(s, T) + \inf \varphi_1 \le PD_i(s, T, \Phi) \le D(s, T) + \sup \varphi_1 + C,\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

(3) *If $s > 1$, then $PD_i(s, T, \Phi) = D(s, T)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

Proof. (1) Since $\Phi = \mathbf{0}$, i.e., $\varphi_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\sum\limits_{B\in\beta} \inf\limits_{x\in B} e^{\varphi_n(x)}$ and $\sum\limits_{B\in\beta} \sup\limits_{x\in B} e^{\varphi_n(x)}$ become the cardinality of the subcover β, and $\sum\limits_{x\in F} e^{\varphi_n(x)}$ and $\sum\limits_{x\in E} e^{\varphi_n(x)}$ become the cardinalities of the spanning set F and the separated set E respectively. Therefore, according to the definitions of the $D(s, T)$ and $PD_i(s, T, \Phi)$, we have

$$PD_i(s, T, \mathbf{0}) = D(s, T),\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

(2) Since $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence, by the inequality (2.1), we have

$$-nC + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi_1(T^i(x)) \leq \varphi_n(x) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi_1(T^i(x)) + nC.$$

Furthermore,

$$-nC + n\inf \varphi_1 \leq \varphi_n(x) \leq n\sup \varphi_1 + nC,$$

and $\inf \varphi_1, \sup \varphi_1$ are finite since X is compact and φ_1 is continuous. Hence, we have

$$e^{-nC+n\inf \varphi_1} \leq e^{\varphi_n(x)} \leq e^{n\sup \varphi_1 + nC}. \tag{3.6}$$

By $s = 1$ and the definitions of $PD_i(s, T, \Phi)$, we have

$$-C + D(s, T) + \inf \varphi_1 \leq PD_i(s, T, \Phi) \leq D(s, T) + \sup \varphi_1 + C,\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

(3) If $s > 1$, then by the above inequality (3.6) and the definitions of $D(s, T)$ and $PD_i(s, T, \Phi)$, we have $D(s, T) = PD_i(s, T, \Phi)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system and $s > 0$. Let $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two almost additive sequences. Then*

(1) $PD_3(s, T, \Phi + \Psi) \leq PD_3(s, T, \Phi) + PD_3(s, T, \Psi)$.

(2) *For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

$$PD_i(s, T, \lambda\Phi) \begin{cases} \leq \lambda \cdot PD_i(s, T, \Phi), & \text{if } \lambda \geq 1, \\ \geq \lambda \cdot PD_i(s, T, \Phi), & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) By Proposition 3.1, $\Phi + \Psi = (\varphi_n + \psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence. Let E be an (n, ϵ)-separated set of X for T. Since

$$\sum_{x\in E} e^{(\varphi_n+\psi_n)(x)} \leq (\sum_{x\in E} e^{\varphi_n(x)})(\sum_{x\in E} e^{\psi_n(x)}),$$

we have $P_n(T, \Phi + \Psi, \epsilon) \leq P_n(T, \Phi, \epsilon)P_n(T, \Psi, \epsilon)$, which implies

$$PD_3(s, T, \Phi + \Psi) \leq PD_3(s, T, \Phi) + PD_3(s, T, \Psi).$$

(2) We only prove that $i = 1$ holds. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and β is a subcover of $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}(\alpha)$. We need the following results to finish the proof. If $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k$ are positive numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i = 1$, then

- $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^{\lambda} \leq 1$ when $\lambda \geq 1$,
- $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i^{\lambda} \geq 1$ when $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Note that $\inf_{x\in B} e^{(\lambda\varphi_n)(x)} = (\inf_{x\in B} e^{\varphi_n(x)})^{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $B \in \beta$, then

$$\sum_{B\in\beta} \inf_{x\in B} e^{(\lambda\varphi_n)(x)} \leq (\sum_{B\in\beta} \inf_{x\in B} e^{\varphi_n(x)})^{\lambda} \text{ if } \lambda \geq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{B\in\beta} \inf_{x\in B} e^{(\lambda\varphi_n)(x)} \geq (\sum_{B\in\beta} \inf_{x\in B} e^{\varphi_n(x)})^{\lambda} \text{ if } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$q_n(T, \lambda\Phi, \alpha) \leq (q_n(T, \Phi, \alpha))^{\lambda} \text{ if } \lambda \geq 1$$

and

$$q_n(T, \lambda\Phi, \alpha) \geq (q_n(T, \Phi, \alpha))^{\lambda} \text{ if } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,$$

which implies (2) holds for $i = 1$. Similarly, we can prove that (2) holds for $i = 2, 3, 4$. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system and $s > 0$. Let $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two almost additive sequences.*

(1) *If $\Phi \leq \Psi$, then $PD_i(s, T, \Phi) \leq PD_i(s, T, \Psi)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

(2) *If $s > 1$, then $PD_i(s, T, \Phi + \Psi \circ T - \Psi) = PD_i(s, T, \Phi)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

(3) *$PD_3(s, T, \cdot)$ is convex.*

Proof. (1) Since $\Phi \leq \Psi$, we have $\varphi_n(x) \leq \psi_n(x)$ for any $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, $e^{\varphi_n(x)} \leq e^{\psi_n(x)}$. By the definitions of $PD_i(s, T, \Phi)$, we have

$$PD_i(s, T, \Phi) \leq PD_i(s, T, \Psi), \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

(2) We only prove that $PD_1(s, T, \Phi + \Psi \circ T - \Psi) = PD_1(s, T, \Phi)$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and β be a subcover of $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}(\alpha)$. We can easily prove that $\Phi + \Psi \circ T - \Psi = (\varphi_n + \psi_n \circ T - \psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence. Since $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$-nC + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi_1(T^i(x)) \leq \psi_n(x) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi_1(T^i(x)) + nC$$

and

$$-nC+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\psi_1(T^i(x))\le\psi_n\circ T(x)\le\sum_{i=1}^{n}\psi_1(T^i(x))+nC.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$-2nC+\psi_1(T^n(x))-\psi_1(x)\le\psi_n\circ T(x)-\psi_n(x)\le\psi_1(T^n(x))-\psi_1(x)+2nC. \quad (3.7)$$

Denote $\| \varphi \|=\max_{x\in X}|\varphi(x)|$. Hence, by the inequality (3.7), we have

$$-2nC-2\| \psi_1 \|\le\psi_n\circ T(x)-\psi_n(x)\le 2\| \psi_1 \|+2nC.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_n(x)+(-2nC+\psi_1(T^n(x))-\psi_1(x))}&\le\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_n(x)+\psi_n\circ T(x)-\psi_n(x)}\\&\le\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_n(x)+(\psi_1(T^n(x))-\psi_1(x)+2nC)}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$e^{-2nC-2\|\psi_1\|}\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_n(x)}\le\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_n(x)+\psi_n\circ T(x)-\psi_n(x)}\le e^{2nC+2\|\psi_1\|}\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_n(x)},$$

which implies

$$e^{-2nC-2\|\psi_1\|}q_n(T,\Phi,\alpha)\le q_n(T,\Phi+\Psi\circ T-\Psi,\alpha)\le e^{2nC+2\|\psi_1\|}q_n(T,\Phi,\alpha)$$

for any $\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_X$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}&(-2nC-2\| \psi_1 \|)+\log q_n(T,\Phi,\alpha)\\&\le\log q_n(T,\Phi+\Psi\circ T-\Psi,\alpha)\\&\le(2nC+2\| \psi_1 \|)+\log q_n(T,\Phi,\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the definition of $PD_1(s,T,\Phi)$ and $s>1$, we have

$$PD_1(s,T,\Phi+\Psi\circ T-\Psi)=PD_1(s,T,\Phi).$$

Similarly, we can prove $PD_i(s,T,\Phi+\Psi\circ T-\Psi)=PD_i(s,T,\Phi)(i=2,3,4)$ for $s>1$.

(3) Let $t\in[0,1]$ and E be an (n,ϵ)-separated set of X for T. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{x\in E}e^{t\varphi_n(x)+(1-t)\psi_n(x)}\le(\sum_{x\in E}e^{\varphi_n(x)})^t(\sum_{x\in E}e^{\phi_n(x)})^{1-t}.$$

This implies

$$P_n(T, t\Phi + (1-t)\Psi, \epsilon) \leq (P_n(T, \Phi, \epsilon))^t (P_n(T, \Psi, \epsilon))^{1-t}.$$

Therefore,

$$PD_3(T, t\Phi + (1-t)\Psi) \leq tPD_3(s, T, \Phi) + (1-t)PD_3(s, T, \Psi).$$

□

Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an almost additive sequence. Define $\Phi_k = (\varphi_{nk})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Clearly, Φ_k is also an almost additive sequence.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an almost additive sequence(with respect to T in X). If T is a homeomorphism, then $\Phi' = (\varphi_n \circ T^{-(n-1)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence(with respect to T^{-1} in X).*

Proof. Denote $\varphi'_n = \varphi_n \circ T^{-(n-1)}$. Since $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$-C + \varphi_n(x) + \varphi_m(T^n(x)) \leq \varphi_{n+m}(x) \leq \varphi_n(x) + \varphi_m(T^n(x)) + C \tag{3.8}$$

for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$. Next, we prove

$$-C + \varphi'_m(x) + \varphi'_n(T^{-m}(x)) \leq \varphi'_{n+m}(x) \leq \varphi'_m(x) + \varphi'_n(T^{-m}(x)) + C. \tag{3.9}$$

In the inequality (3.8), by using $T^{-(n+m-1)}(x)$ instead of x, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&-C + \varphi_n(T^{-(n+m-1)}(x)) + \varphi_m(T^n(T^{-(n+m-1)}(x))) \\
&\leq \varphi_{n+m}(T^{-(n+m-1)}(x)) \\
&\leq \varphi_n(T^{-(n+m-1)}(x)) + \varphi_m(T^n(T^{-(n+m-1)}(x))) + C
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-C + (\varphi_n \circ T^{-(n-1)}(T^{-m}(x)) + (\varphi_m \circ T^{-(m-1)})(x) \\
&\leq (\varphi_{n+m} \circ T^{-(n+m-1)})(x) \\
&\leq (\varphi_n \circ T^{-(n-1)}(T^{-m}(x)) + (\varphi_m \circ T^{-(m-1)})(x) + C,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the inequality (3.9) holds. Therefore, $\Phi' = (\varphi_n \circ T^{-(n-1)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence for T^{-1}. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system and $s > 0$. Let $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an almost additive sequence for T and $\Phi' = (\varphi_n \circ T^{-(n-1)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.*

(1) *If $k > 0$, then*

$$PD_i(s, T^k, \Phi_k) \leq k^s PD_i(s, T, \Phi),\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

(2)

- *If $s = 1$,*

$$-C + PD_i(s, T, \varphi_1) \leq PD_i(s, T, \Phi) \leq PD_i(s, T, \varphi_1) + C,\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4;$$

- *if $s > 1$,*

$$PD_i(s, T, \varphi_1) = PD_i(s, T, \Phi),\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

(3) *If T is a homeomorphism, then*

$$PD_i(s, T^{-1}, \Phi') = PD_i(s, T, \Phi),\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Proof. (1) Denote

$$d_{nk}^T(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq nk-1} d(T^i(x), T^i(y))$$

and

$$d_n^{T^k}(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} d(T^{ki}(x), T^{ki}(y)),$$

where $x, y \in X$. Then $d_{nk}^T(x, y) \geq d_n^{T^k}(x, y)$.

If F is an (nk, ϵ)-spanning set of X for T, then F is an (n, ϵ)-spanning set of X for T^k. Since

$$Q_{nk}(T, \Phi, \epsilon) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{x \in F} e^{\varphi_{nk}(x)} | F \text{ is an } (nk, \epsilon)-\text{spanning set of } X \text{ for } T \right\}$$

and

$$Q_n(T^k, \Phi_k, \epsilon) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{x \in F} e^{\varphi_{nk}(x)} | F \text{ is an } (n, \epsilon)-\text{spanning set of } X \text{ for } T^k \right\},$$

it follows that $Q_n(T^k, \Phi_k, \epsilon) \leq Q_{nk}(T, \Phi, \epsilon)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \log Q_n(T^k, \Phi_k, \epsilon) \leq k^s \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(nk)^s} \log Q_{nk}(T, \Phi, \epsilon).$$

Therefore, $PD_2(s, T^k, \Phi_k) \leq k^s PD_2(s, T, \Phi)$.

If E is an (n, ϵ)-separated set of X for T^k, then E is an (nk, ϵ)-separated set of X for T. Since

$$P_{nk}(T, \Phi, \epsilon) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{x \in E} e^{\varphi_{nk}(x)} | E \text{ is an } (n, \epsilon)-\text{separated set of } X \text{ for } T \right\}$$

and

$$P_n(T^k,\Phi_k,\epsilon)=\sup\left\{\sum_{x\in E}e^{\varphi_{nk}(x)}|E \text{ is an } (n,\epsilon)-\text{separated set of } X \text{ for } T^k\right\},$$

it follows that $P_n(T^k,\Phi_k,\epsilon)\leq P_{nk}(T,\Phi,\epsilon)$. Therefore, $PD_3(s,T^k,\Phi_k)\leq k^sPD_3(s,T,\Phi)$.

Let $\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_X$. Then $\bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1}T^{-i}\alpha$ is finer than $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1}T^{-ki}\alpha$. Since

$$q_n(T^k,\Phi_k,\alpha)=\inf\left\{\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_{nk}(x)}|\beta \text{ is a finite subcover of } \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1}T^{-ki}\alpha\right\}$$

and

$$q_{nk}(T,\Phi,\alpha)=\inf\left\{\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_{nk}(x)}|\beta \text{ is a finite subcover of } \bigvee_{i=0}^{nk-1}T^{-i}\alpha\right\},$$

it follows that $q_n(T^k,\Phi_k,\alpha)\leq q_{nk}(T,\Phi,\alpha)$. Therefore, $PD_1(s,T^k,\Phi_k)\leq k^sPD_1(s,T,\Phi)$. Similarly, we can prove $PD_4(s,T^k,\Phi_k)\leq k^sPD_4(s,T,\Phi)$.

(2) Since $\Phi=(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence for T, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$-C+\varphi_n(x)+\varphi_m(T^n(x))\leq\varphi_{n+m}(x)\leq\varphi_n(x)+\varphi_m(T^n(x))+C$$

for every $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$ and $x\in X$. Furthermore, we have

$$-nC+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi_1(T^i(x))\leq\varphi_n(x)\leq\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi_1(T^i(x))+nC,$$

i.e., $-nC+(S_n\varphi_1)(x)\leq\varphi_n(x)\leq(S_n\varphi_1)(x)+nC$. Therefore,

$$e^{-nC+(S_n\varphi_1)(x)}\leq e^{\varphi_n(x)}\leq e^{(S_n\varphi_1)(x)+nC}. \tag{3.10}$$

This implies that

- if $s=1$,

$$-C+PD_i(s,T,\varphi_1)\leq PD_i(s,T,\Phi)\leq PD_i(s,T,\varphi_1)+C,\ i=1,2,3,4;$$

- if $s>1$,

$$PD_i(s,T,\varphi_1)=PD_i(s,T,\Phi),\ i=1,2,3,4.$$

(3) Denote $\Phi' = (\varphi'_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $\varphi'_n = \varphi_n \circ T^{-(n-1)}$. Since

$$d_n(x,y) = \max_{0\le i<n} d(T^i(x), T^i(y)) = \max_{0\le i<n} d(T^{-i}(T^{n-1}(x)), T^{-i}(T^{n-1}(y)))$$

for every $x, y \in X$. Hence, E is an (n,ϵ)-separated for T if and only if $T^{n-1}(E)$ is an (n,ϵ)-separated set for T^{-1}. Note that

$$\sum_{x\in E} e^{\varphi_n(x)} = \sum_{y\in T^{n-1}(E)} e^{(\varphi_n\circ T^{-(n-1)})(y)} = \sum_{y\in T^{n-1}(E)} e^{\varphi'_n(y)}.$$

Therefore, $Q_n(T^{-1},\Phi',\epsilon) = Q_n(T,\Phi,\epsilon)$, which implies $PD_2(s,T^{-1},\Phi') = PD_2(s,T,\Phi)$. Similarly, we can prove $PD_3(s,T^{-1},\Phi') = PD_3(s,T,\Phi)$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and β be a subcover of $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\alpha$. Let $B \in \beta$. Note that

$$\inf_{x\in B} e^{\varphi_n(x)} = \inf_{y\in T^{n-1}(B)} e^{(\varphi_n\circ T^{-(n-1)})(y)} = \inf_{y\in T^{n-1}(B)} e^{\varphi'_n(y)}.$$

Since

$$\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} (T^{-1})^{-i}\alpha = \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i+(n-1)}\alpha = T^{n-1}(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\alpha),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(T^{-1},\Phi',\alpha) &= \inf\left\{\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B} e^{\varphi'_n(x)} | \beta \text{ is a finite subcover of } \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1}(T^{-1})^{-i}\alpha\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{y\in T^{n-1}(B)} e^{\varphi_n(y)} | \beta \text{ is a finite subcover of } \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\alpha\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply that

$$q_n(T^{-1},\Phi',\alpha) = \inf\left\{\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B} e^{\varphi_n(x)} | \beta \text{ is a finite subcover of } \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} T^{-i}\alpha\right\} = q_n(T,\Phi,\alpha),$$

which shows $PD_1(s,T^{-1},\Phi') = PD_1(s,T,\Phi)$. The case $i = 4$ can be proved similarly. □

Let (X,T_1) and (Y,T_2) be two topological dynamical systems. Then, (X,T_1) is an extension of (Y,T_2), or (Y,T_2) is a factor of (X,T_1) if there exists a surjective continuous map $\pi : X \to Y$ (called a factor map) such that $\pi\circ T_1(x) = T_2\circ\pi(x)$ for every $x \in X$. If π is a homeomorphism, then (X,T_1) and (Y,T_2) are said to be topologically conjugate and the homeomorphism π is called a conjugate map.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (X, d_1, T_1) and (Y, d_2, T_2) be two topological dynamical systems. Let $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an almost additive sequence for T_2. If (Y, T_2) is a factor of (X, T_1) with a factor map $\pi : X \to Y$, then $PD_2(s, T_1, \Phi \circ \pi) \geq PD_2(s, T_2, \Phi)$ for any $s > 0$, where $\Phi \circ \pi = (\varphi_n \circ \pi)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Moreover, If (X, T_1) and (Y, T_2) are topologically conjugate with a conjugate map $\pi : X \to Y$, then $PD_2(s, T_1, \Phi \circ \pi) = PD_2(s, T_2, \Phi)$ for any $s > 0$.*

Proof. If (Y, T_2) is a factor of (X, T_1) with a factor map $\pi : X \to Y$, then $\pi \circ T_1(x) = T_2 \circ \pi(x)$ for every $x \in X$. We first prove that if $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence for T_2, then $\Phi \circ \pi = (\varphi_n \circ \pi)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence for T_1. In fact, since $\Phi = (\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence with respect to T_2 there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$-C + \varphi_n(y) + \varphi_m(T_2^n(y)) \leq \varphi_{n+m}(y) \leq \varphi_n(y) + \varphi_m(T_2^n(y)) + C$$

for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in Y$. Note that for any $x \in X$, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $\pi(x) = y$. Furthermore,

$$-C + \varphi_n(\pi(x)) + \varphi_m(T_2^n(\pi(x))) \leq \varphi_{n+m}(\pi(x)) \leq \varphi_n(\pi(x)) + \varphi_m(T_2^n(\pi(x))) + C.$$

Therefore, we have

$$-C + \varphi_n \circ \pi(x) + \varphi_m \circ \pi(T_1^n(x)) \leq \varphi_{n+m} \circ \pi(x) \leq \varphi_n \circ \pi(x) + \varphi_m \circ \pi(T_1^n(x)) + C,$$

which implies $\Phi \circ \pi = (\varphi_n \circ \pi)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence for T_1.
Since X is compact and π is a continuous map, then for any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d_1(x, y) < \delta$ satisfying $d_2(\pi(x), \pi(y)) < \epsilon$. Combining this and π is surjective, if F is an (n, δ)-spanning set of X for T_1, then $\pi(F)$ is an (n, ϵ)-spanning set of Y for T_2. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{x\in F} e^{(\varphi_n \circ \pi)(x)} = \sum_{y\in\pi(F)} e^{\varphi_n(y)},$$

which implies that $Q_n(T_1, \Phi \circ \pi, \delta) \geq Q_n(T_2, \Phi, \epsilon)$. Therefore, $PD_2(s, T_1, \Phi \circ \pi) \geq PD_2(s, T_2, \Phi)$.

If π is a conjugate map, then we can use $T_2, T_1, \pi^{-1}, \Phi \circ \pi$ instead of the above with T_1, T_2, π, Φ respectively, which implies that $PD_2(s, T_2, \Phi) \geq PD_2(s, T_1, \Phi \circ \pi)$. Therefore, $PD_2(s, T_1, \Phi \circ \pi) = PD_2(s, T_2, \Phi)$.

□

4 Topological pressure dimension for almost additive potentials

In this section, we discuss the relation between $D(T)$ and $PD_i(T, \Phi)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ for certain special almost additive sequence Φ. Moreover, we give some examples on topological pressure dimension for almost additive sequences.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X,T) be a topological dynamical system and $\Phi=(\varphi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an almost additive sequence. If $D(T)<1$, then $PD_i(T,\Phi)\leq 1$, $i=1,2,3,4$.*

Proof. Since $D(T)<1$, we have $D(1,T)=0$. By (2) of Theorem 3.1,

$$PD_i(1,T,\Phi)\leq D(1,T)+\sup\varphi_1+C,$$

which implies that $PD_i(1,T,\Phi)\leq\sup\varphi_1+C<+\infty$. Therefore, $PD_i(T,\Phi)\geq 1$ by the definitions of $PD_i(T,\Phi)$ $(i=1,2,3,4)$. □

Let A be a positive constant and $\Phi=(nA)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. It is obvious that $\Phi=(nA)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an almost additive sequence.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X,T) be a topological dynamical system and $s>0$. If $\Phi=(nA)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, A>0$, then*

$$PD_i(s,T,\Phi)=\begin{cases} D(s,T), & \text{if } s>1,\\ D(s,T)+A, & \text{if } s=1,\\ +\infty, & \text{if } 0\leq s<1\end{cases}\tag{4.11}$$

for $i=1,2,3,4$.

Proof. We first prove that the cases $i=1,4$. Let $\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_X$ and β is a subcover of $\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1}T^{-i}(\alpha)$. Let $B\in\beta$. Note that $\inf\limits_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_n(x)}=\inf\limits_{x\in B}e^{nA}$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}q_n(T,\Phi,\alpha)&=\inf\left\{\sum_{B\in\beta}\inf_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_n(x)}|\beta\text{ is a finite subcover of }\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1}T^{-i}\alpha\right\}\\&=e^{nA}\mathcal{N}(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1}T^{-i}\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}p_n(T,\Phi,\alpha)&=\inf\left\{\sum_{B\in\beta}\sup_{x\in B}e^{\varphi_n(x)}|\beta\text{ is a finite subcover of }\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1}T^{-i}\alpha\right\}\\&=e^{nA}\mathcal{N}(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1}T^{-i}\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$PD_1(s,T,\Phi)=PD_4(s,T,\Phi)=\sup_{\alpha\in\mathcal{C}_X}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}(\log\mathcal{N}(\bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1}T^{-i}\alpha)+nA).$$

Furthermore, we have

- if $s > 1$, $PD_1(s, T, \Phi) = PD_4(s, T, \Phi) = D(s, T)$,
- if $s = 1$, $PD_1(s, T, \Phi) = PD_4(s, T, \Phi) = D(s, T) + A$,
- if $0 \leq s < 1$, $PD_1(s, T, \Phi) = PD_4(s, T, \Phi) = +\infty$.

This shows that (4.11) holds for $i = 1, 4$. It follows from the inequality (2.2) that (4.11) holds for $i = 2, 3$. □

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, T) be a topological dynamical system and $\Phi = (nA)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $A \geq 0$. Then*

(1) *If $A = 0$, then $PD_i(T, \mathbf{0}) = D(T)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

(2) *If $A > 0$ and $0 \leq D(T) \leq 1$, then $PD_i(T, \Phi) = 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

(3) *If $A > 0$ and $D(T) > 1$, then $PD_i(T, \Phi) = D(T)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

Proof. (1) If $A = 0$, then $\Phi = \mathbf{0}$, which implies that $PD_i(s, T, \mathbf{0}) = D(s, T)$ by Theorem 3.1. Therefore,

$$PD_i(T, \mathbf{0}) = D(T), \ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

(2) Assume $0 \leq D(T) \leq 1$. If $0 \leq s < 1$, then $PD_i(s, T, \Phi) = +\infty$ by (4.11), which implies that $PD_i(T, \Phi) \geq s$. Thus, $PD_i(T, \Phi) \geq 1$. Moreover, if $s > 1$, then $D(s, T) = 0$. Furthermore, we have $PD_i(s, T, \Phi) = 0$ by (4.11), which implies that $PD_i(T, \Phi) \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$PD_i(T, \Phi) = 1, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

(3) Assume $D(T) > 1$. If $0 < s < D(T)$, then $D(s, T) = \infty$. Hence, we have $PD_i(s, T, \Phi) = \infty$ by (4.11), which implies that $PD_i(T, \Phi) \geq s$. Again, if $1 \leq D(T) \leq s$, then $D(s, T) = 0$. Furthermore, by (4.11), we have $PD_i(s, T, \Phi) = 0$, which implies that $PD_i(T, \Phi) \leq s$. Therefore,

$$PD_i(T, \Phi) = D(T), \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

□

Example 4.1. *Let (Σ_2, σ) be a one-sided symbolic dynamical system, where $\Sigma_2 = \{x = (x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} : x_n \in \{0, 1\}$ for every $n\}$, $\sigma(x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots) = (x_1, x_2, \cdots)$. Let $\Phi = (nA)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $A > 0$. Then $PD_i(T, \Phi) = 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

Considering $\{0,1\}$ as a discrete space and putting product topology on Σ_2, an admissible metric ρ on the space Σ_2 is defined by

$$\rho(x,y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{d(x_n,y_n)}{2^n},$$

where

$$d(x_n,y_n)=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } x_n=y_n,\\ \\ 1, & \text{if } x_n\neq y_n,\end{cases}$$

for $x=(x_0,x_1,\cdots),\ y=(y_0,y_1,\cdots)\in\Sigma_2$. By Robinson [42], Σ_2 is a compact metric space. From [17], $D(T)=1$. Hence, by (2) of Theorem 4.3, we have $PD_i(T,\Phi)=1$, $i=1,2,3,4$.

Example 4.2. *Let (X,T) be a topological dynamical system and $\Phi=(nA)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $A>0$. Then*

(1) *If $T:X\to X$ is a contractive continuous map, then $PD_i(T,\Phi)=1$, $i=1,2,3,4$.*

(2) *If X is an unit circle and $T:X\to X$ is a homeomorphism, then $PD_i(T,\Phi)=1$, $i=1,2,3,4$.*

Proof of Example 4.2. (1) Since $T:X\to X$ is a contractive continuous map, it follows that $D(T)=0$ from [15]. By (2) of Theorem 4.3, we have $PD_i(T,\Phi)=1$, $i=1,2,3,4$.

(2) From [17], we have $D(T)=0$. Hence, by Theorem 4.3 (2), $PD_i(T,\Phi)=1$, $i=1,2,3,4$.

Acknowledgements. The work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (grant No. WK0010000035).

References

[1] R. Adler, A. Konheim and M. McAndrew, Topological entropy, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **114** (1965), 309-319.

[2] L. Barreira, A non-additive thermodynamic formalism and applications to dimension theory of hyperbolic dynamical systems, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **16** (1996), 871-927.

[3] L. Barreira, Almost additive thermodynamic formalism: some recent developments, *Reviews in Mathmatical Physics,* **22** (2010), 1147-1179.

[4] L. Barreira, *Thermodynamic Formalism and Applications to Dimension Theory,* Progress in Mathematics 294, Birkhäuser, 2011.

[5] L. Barreira and P. Doutor, Almost additive multifractal analysis, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **92** (2009), 1-17.

[6] L. Barreira and P. Doutor, Dimension spectra of almost additive sequences, *Nonlinearity,* **22** (2009), 2761-2773.

[7] L. Barreira and K. Gelfert, Dimension estimates in smooth dynamics: a survey of recent results, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **31** (2011), 641-671.

[8] L. Block and W. Coppel, *Dynamics in One Dimension.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1513, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[9] R. Bowen, Topological entropy and axiom A, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math, Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** (1970), 23-42.

[10] R. Bowen, Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogeneous spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **153** (1971), 401-414.

[11] R. Bowen, *Equilibrium States and the Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms,* Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 470, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

[12] R. Bowen, Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci.* **50** (1979), 11-25.

[13] R. Bowen and P. Walters, Expansive one-parameter flows, *J. Differ. Equat.* **12** (1972), 180-193.

[14] Y. Cao, D. Feng and W. Huang, The thermodynamic formalism for sub-additive potentials, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **20** (2008), 639-657.

[15] M. Carvalho, Entropy dimension of dynamical systems, *Port. Math.* **54** (1997) 19-40.

[16] B. Chen, B. Ding and Y. Cao, The local variational principle of topological pressure for sub-additive potentials, *Nonlinear Anal.* **73** (2010), 3525-3536.

[17] W. Cheng and B. Li, Zero entropy systems, *J. Stat. Phys.* **140** (2010), 1006-1021.

[18] W. Cheng and B. Li, Topological pressure dimension, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **53** (2013), 10-17.

[19] W. Cheng and S. Newhouse, Preimage entropy, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **25** (2005), 1091-1113.

[20] I. Cornfeld, S. Fomin and Y. Sinai, *Ergodic theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1982.

[21] D. Dou, W. Huang and K. Park, Entropy dimension of measure-preserving systems. Preprint

[22] D. Dou, W. Huang and K. Park, Entropy dimension of topological dynamical systems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), 659-680.

[23] K. Falconer, A subadditive thermodynamic formalism for mixing repellers, *J. Phys. A.* **21** (1988), 737-742.

[24] D. Feng and W. Huang, Lyapunov spectrum of asymptotically sub-additive potentials, *Commun. Math. Phy.* **297** (2010), 1-43.

[25] S. Ferenczi, Measure-theoretic complexity of ergodic systems, *Israel J. Math.* **100** (1997), 189-207.

[26] S. Ferenczi and K. Park, Entropy dimensions and a class of constructive examples, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **17** (2007), 133-141.

[27] W. Huang and Y. Yi, A local variational principle of pressure and its applications to equilibrium states, *Israel J. Math.* **161** (2007), 29-74.

[28] W. Huang, K. Park and X. Ye, Topological disjointness from entropy zero systems, *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.* **135** (2007) 259-282.

[29] M. Hurley, On topological entropy of maps, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **15** (1995), 557-568.

[30] A. Katok and J. Thouvenot, Slow entropy type invariants and smooth realization of commuting measure-preserving transformations, *Ann Inst H Poincar Probab Statist.* **33** (1997), 323-338.

[31] G. Keller, *Equilibrium States in Ergodic Theory,* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

[32] H. Keynes and M. Sears, Real-expansive flows and topological dimensions, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **1** (1981), 179-195.

[33] A. Kolmogorov and Y. Tihomiorov, ϵ-Entropy and ϵ-capacity of sets in function spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1961), 277-364.

[34] R. Kuang, W. Cheng and B. Li, Fractal entropy of nonautonomous systems, *Pacific J. Math.* **262** (2013), 421-436.

[35] B. Liang and K. Yan, Topological pressure for sub-additive potentials of amenable group actions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **262** (2012), 584-601.

[36] D. Ma, R. Kuang and B. Li, Topological entropy dimension for noncompact sets, *Dyn. Syst.* **27** (2012), 303-316.

[37] D. Ma and M. Wu, Topological pressure and topological entropy of a semigroup of maps, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **31**(2011), 545-557.

[38] M. Misiurewicz and J. Smítal, Smooth chaotic maps with zero topological entropy, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **8** (1998), 421-424.

[39] Z. Nitecki and F. Przytycki, Preimage entropy for mappings, *Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos.* **9** (1999), 1815-1843.

[40] K. Park, On directional entropy functions, *Isr. J. Math.* **113** (1999), 243-267.

[41] Y. Pesin and B. Pitskel, Topological pressure and the variational principle for noncompact sets, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **18** (1984), 307-318.

[42] C. Robinson, *Dynamical systems: stability, symbolic dynamics, and chaos.* 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRc Press Inc; 1999.

[43] D. Ruelle, Statistical mechanics on a compact set with Z^ν action satisfying expansiveness and specification, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **187** (1973), 237-251.

[44] D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamic Formalism. The Mathematical Structures of Classical Equilibrium Statistical Mechanics,* Encyclopedia Math. Appl., vol. 5, Addison-CWesley Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1978.

[45] D. Ruelle, Repellers for real analytic maps, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **2** (1982), 99-107.

[46] R. Thomas, Some fundamental properties of continuous functions and topological entropy, *Pacific. J. Math.* **141** (1990), 391-400.

[47] P. Walters, A variational principle for the pressure of continuous transformations, *Amer. J. Math.* **97** (1975), 937-971.

[48] P. Walters, *An introduction to ergodic theory,* Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 79, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1982.

[49] K. Yan, Sub-additive and asymptotically sub-additive topological pressure for $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions, *J. Dyn. Diff. Equat.* **25** (2013), 653-678.

[50] G. Zhang, Variational principles of pressure, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **24** (2009), 1409-1435.